

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,335	12/15/2005	Douglas Denney	US030227	6010	
28.159 7550 PHILIPS MEDICAL SYSTEMS PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MANUEL,	MANUEL, GEORGE C	
P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHELL EVERETT HIGHWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOTHELL, WA 98041-3003			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561,335 DENNEY ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit George Manuel 3762 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8.14 and 19-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,14 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper Not-SVMail Date.

9) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Provided Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper Not-SVMail Date 4/15/08

6) Other:

U.S. Patent and Tradamin Office

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,335

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Denniston et al (US 3,805,795).

Denniston et al disclose an automatic cardioverting circuit and teaches that "defibrillation" is included in the term "cardioversion" as a method of applying electrical shocks to the heart to defibrillate a fibrillating atrium or a fibrillating ventricle. Electrical line 11 is connected to a conductive elastomer body within electrical lead 16 which changes impedance when flexed by a heart contraction. The examiner is interpreting the lead 16 to comprise a belt surrounding an electrode pad and the lead is inherently made of metal. A lead is used to detect the EKG using electrically conductive electrodes; the heart contractions are detected by an elastomer body which changes impedance whenever it is flexed,

Art Unit: 3762

as for example, by heart contraction; and the cardioverting electrical impulses are applied to the heart via the same electrodes as those used to detect the EKG.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3.805,795).

Denniston et al shows all of the claimed features except for a package containing the electrodes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to package the device of Denniston et al to prevent contamination and to keep the device in a sterile environment until the device is to be used with a patient.

Claims 6, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3,805,795) in view of Keusch et al (US 4,706,680).

Denniston et al show or render obvious all of the claimed features except for a hydrogel.

Keusch et al teach it is desirable for a medical electrode used for defibrillation to not store an electrical charge, and to have a low solution potential with the skin and be of low impedance.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine a hydrogel with the electrodes disclosed in Denniston et al because Keusch et al teach the hydrogels are biologically inert, and are suited to the detection of signals requiring application to or implanted within sensitive areas of the body.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/15/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Clearly, the handling and removing of the electrodes Application/Control Number: 10/561,335

Art Unit: 3762

disclosed in Denniston et al imparts a flexure to the electrodes and consequently imparts a variation of impedance.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., determine whether a rescuer has opened a defibrillator electrode package) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,335

Page 6

Art Unit: 3762